

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/582,262	10/10/2000	John T. Wassom JR.	06975-029004	06975-029004 3601	
26171	7590 02/07/2005		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			NGUYEN, NHON D		
1425 K STREET, N.W. 11TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3500			2179	
			DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005	DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/582,262	WASSOM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nhon (Gary) D Nguyen	2179				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period where the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 December 2004</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 <i>April 2001</i></u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
255 the attached actained chief action for a list of the defining depicts not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L_J Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/582,262 Page 2

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to amendment, filed 12/06/2004.

2. Claims 1-50 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 28, 42 and 44 are independent claims. In the amendment, no claim is canceled, claims 1, 28, 42 and 44 are amended, and claims 47-50 are added. This action is made non-final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-35, and 37-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bodnar (US 6,544,295).

As per independent claim 1, Bodnar teaches a method of managing navigation information in a computer application, the method comprising:

establishing a global context that can communicate with a plurality of resources (col. 6, line 60 – col. 7, line 8), each resource residing in an associated local context (browsing the Internet Browser using different URLs);

communicating state information from one or more of the local contexts to the global context (browsing the Internet Browser using different URLs); and

maintaining global navigation information for the plurality of resources using a single navigation interface based on the communicated state information, wherein the plurality of resources are separate and independent resources (col. 6, line 61 - col. 7, line 8 and col. 7, lines 35-51) that include both browser and non-browser applications (col. 7, lines 47-51 and col. 9, lines 5-11).

As per claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1, Bodnar teaches the communication of state information occurs in response to a change in state in one or more of the local contexts (col. 7, lines 43-51).

As per claim 3, which is dependent on claim 2, Bodnar teaches the change in state in a local context comprises a change in a title associated with a resource or a change in an address associated with the resource, or both (col. 7, lines 43-51).

As per claims 4 and 5, which are dependent on claims 2 and 4 respectively, since Bodnar's system is an Internet Browser (col. 7, lines 3-4), it is inherent that the change in state in a local context is triggered by input from a user of the computer application in which the user's triggering input comprises clicking on a Back/Forward navigation button, selecting an address from a displayed history list, or typing an address in an address field.

As per claims 6 and 7, which are dependent on claims 2 and 6 respectively, since Bodnar's system is an Internet Browser (col. 7, lines 3-4), it is inherent that the change in state in

a local context is triggered by a computer process transparently to a computer user in which the transparent triggering comprises a title change notification from a host computer.

As per claim 8, which is dependent on claim 1, Since Bodnar's system is an Internet Browser (col. 7, lines 3-4), it is inherent that the global navigation information comprises state information for global-context Back/Forward buttons displayed in a graphical user interface associated with the computer application.

As per claim 9, which is dependent on claim 1, Bodnar teaches the global navigation information comprises state information for a global-context history list presented to a user of the computer application (fig. 7).

As per claims 10 and 11, which are dependent on claims 1 and 10 respectively, Bodnar teaches receiving navigation input from a user of the computer application; and changing a focus to move among the resources based on the received navigation input and the global navigation information in which changing the focus comprises activating a window associated with a resource (col. 7, lines 43-47).

As per claims 12 and 13, which are dependent on claims 1 and 12 respectively, Bodnar teaches maintenance of the global navigation information comprises selectively modifying the global navigation information depending on a manner in which a user interacts with the computer application, which comprises one or more of clicking a cursor in a window associated Art Unit: 2179

with a resource, clicking on a link in a window associated with a resource, clicking on a Back/Forward navigation button, selecting an address from a displayed history list, or typing an address in an address field (doing these interactions do not modify the bookmark history list).

As per claims 14 and 15, which are dependent on claims 1 and 14 respectively, Since Bodnar's system is an Internet Browser, it is inherent that maintenance of the global navigation information comprises pruning a navigation tree, in which pruning the navigation tree comprises: determining that a user of the computer application is accessing a new address; and deleting forward button state information.

As per claim 16, which is dependent on claim 1, Bodnar teaches changing focus from a current window to a previously accessed window based on the global navigation information (col. 7, lines 43-47).

As per claim 17, which is dependent on claim 16, Bodnar teaches if a window associated with the previously accessed address has been closed, spawning a new instance of that window (col. 7, lines 3-4).

As per claims 18 and 19, which are dependent on claims 16 and 18 respectively, Bodnar teaches changing focus from a current window to a previously accessed window comprises using local-context navigation information maintained by a resource when navigating within that

resource's local context, in which the resource maintaining local-context navigation information comprises a browser application (col. 3, line 65 – col. 4, line 5).

As per claim 20, which is dependent on claim 1, it is inherent in Bodnar's system that a user can specify whether closing a window associated with a resource results in deletion of the window from the global navigation information.

As per claim 21, which is dependent on claim 1, it is inherent in Bodnar's system that maintenance of the global navigation information comprises deleting navigation information corresponding to a closed window.

As per claim 22, which is dependent on claim 1, Bodnar teaches one or more of the resources comprises a browser application (col. 13, lines 65-66).

As per claim 23, which is dependent on claim 1, Bodnar teaches one or more of the resources comprises a nonbrowser application (col. 13, lines 65-66).

As per claim 24, which is dependent on claim 1, Bodnar teaches the computer application comprises online service client software (*Internet Browser*, col. 6, line 60 – col. 7, line 8).

As per claim 25, which is dependent on claim 1, Bodnar teaches the global navigation information comprises a navigation path to move among resources (fig. 7).

Art Unit: 2179

As per claim 26, which is dependent on claim 1, Bodnar teaches in which the communicated state information comprises a Uniform Resource Locator address (col. 13, lines 65-66).

As per claim 27, which is dependent on claim 1, Bodnar teaches the communicated state information comprises a non-internet network address (col. 13, lines 65-66).

As per independent claim 28, Bodnar teaches a method of managing a history list in a computer application, the method comprising:

receiving state information from a plurality of independent and separate resources that include both browser and non-browser applications, each resource residing in an associated local context (browsing the Internet Browser using different URLs; col. 10, line 62 - col. 11, line 4, col. 7, lines 47-51 and col. 9, lines 5-11);

based on the received state information, maintaining a history of resources accessed by a user of the computer application; and presenting a global-context history list representative of an order in which the resources were accessed using a single navigation interface (fig. 7; col. 10, line 62 - col. 11, line 4).

As per claims 29, which is dependent on claim 28, Bodnar teaches enabling a user of the computer application to return to any of the listed resources by selecting a desired resource from the global-context history list (col. 9, lines 20-22).

Art Unit: 2179

As per claim 30, which is dependent on claim 28 in which a resource communicates state information in response to a change in state in the resource's local context (col. 9, lines 20-22).

As per claims 31 and 32, which are both dependent on claim 30, it is inherent in Bodnar's system that the change in state in the resource's local context comprises a change in an address associated with that resource and in which the change in state in the resource's local context comprises a change in a title associated with that resource.

As per claim 33, which is dependent on claim 28, Bodnar teaches the global-context history list presented to the user selectively omits an identity of one or more of the accessed resources (col. 10, line 62 – col. 11, line 4).

As per claims 34 and 35, which are dependent on claims 28 and 34 respectively, they are rejected under the same rationale as claims 12 and 13 respectively.

As per claim 37, which is dependent on claim 28, it is inherent in Bodnar's system that maintenance of the history comprises adding a new entry to a top of a list if the resource had not been accessed previously.

As per claim 38, which is dependent on claim 28, Bodnar teaches maintenance of the history comprises rearranging entries in a list if the resource had been accessed previously (clicking on *Name*, *Updated*, or *Type* in fig. 7 would prompt the history list to be rearranged).

As per claim 39, which is dependent on claim 28, Bodnar teaches the history of resources corresponds to a navigation path among resources (fig. 7).

As per claim 40, which is dependent on claim 28, Bodnar teaches the state information received from a resource comprises a Uniform Resource Locator address (col. 13, lines 65-66).

As per claim 41, which is dependent on claim 28, Bodnar teaches the state information received from a resource comprises a non-internet network address (col. 13, lines 65-66).

As per independent claims 42 and 44, they are rejected under the same rationale as claim

1.

As per claim 43, which is dependent on 42, Bodnar teaches graphical controls that enable a user of an application to move among resources based on the global-context navigation information (fig. 9A and 9B).

As per claims 45 and 46, which are dependent on claims 44 and 45 respectively, they are rejected under the same rationale as claim 43.

Application/Control Number: 09/582,262 Page 10

Art Unit: 2179

As per claims 47-50, Bodnar teaches the browser applications include web browser applications and non-browser applications include word processing applications (col. 5, lines 48-52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - 6. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bodnar.

As per claim 36, which is dependent on claim 28, the Examiner take Official Notice that it is well known to display presentation of the global-context history list in a drop-down list. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to modify Bodnar's system to include a drop-down list displaying presentation of the global-context history since it would conserve the window space.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 12/06/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argued the following:

Application/Control Number: 09/582,262 Page 11

Art Unit: 2179

(a) Bodnar does not provide a single navigation interface for a plurality of resources that include both browser and non-browser applications.

(b) Bodnar does not describe or suggest using a single navigation interface that presents a history list of both browser and non-browser applications.

Examiner disagrees for the following reasons:

(a) Control Center (e.g. fig. 3A) is, in fact, a single navigation interface for a plurality of resources by allowing users to switch from one site to another utilizing Quick Marks function (col. 6, line 61 - col. 7, line 8 and col. 7, lines 35-51). Furthermore, the Quick Marks utility allows users to manage and search through one's programs, Internet sites (browser applications) and document (non-browser application) (col. 7, lines 47-51 and col. 9, lines 5-11).

(b) Fig. 7 is clearly a single navigation interface that presents a history list of applications with date and time. The single navigation interface of fig. 7 displays a list of the new or removed user-specified Quick Marks items (col. 10, line 62 - col. 11, line 4), which include both Internet sites (browser applications) and document (non-browser application) (col. 7, lines 47-51 and col. 9, lines 5-11). Therefore, Bodnar clearly teaches a single navigation interface that presents a history list of both browser and non-browser applications.

Inquiries

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon (Gary) D Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)272-4139. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday with every other Monday off.

Application/Control Number: 09/582,262

Art Unit: 2179

Page 12

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather R Herndon can be reached on (571)272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nhon (Gary) Nguyen February 04, 2005

> BA HUYNH PRIMARY EXAMINER